

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,197	01/08/2001	Werner Lehner	31833-169020 RK	4843	
26694 7	11/25/2003		EXAMINE		
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385			STREGE, JOHN B		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625 DATE MAILED: 11/25/200	3 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
			55,197	LEHNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Exam	niner	Art Unit				
			B Strege	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th tatutory period will apply i y will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may be statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Models the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>08 January</i>	<u>2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 43-89 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 43-89 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January</u> Applicant may not request that any objected the properties of the country of the properties of the country of the	2 <u>001</u> is/are: a)⊠ ection to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed Office action of the specific reference was included a complete translation of the foreign lands of the certified complete translation of the foreign lands of the specific reference was included in the first section.	documents have documents have for the priority documents have an all bureau (PCT on for a list of the for domestic priority do in the first sentenguage provisional for domestic priority documents.	been received. been received in cuments have been received in cuments have been received in the suments have been received in the suments at the sum of sum of suments at the sum of	Application No en received in this National of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(f Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/755,197

Art Unit: 2625

Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I Claims 43 and 61-63 are directed to a folding press corresponding to Figs. 1-2 of the Applicant's disclosure.
- II Claims 43 and 64-66 are directed to a printing press corresponding to Figs.4-6 of the Applicant's disclosure.
- III Claims 43 and 67 are directed to a welding robot corresponding to Fig. 7 of the Applicant's disclosure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 43 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2625

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 43-52, 55-56, and 86-88, drawn to a warning indication, classified in class 340, subclass 573.1.
- II. Claims 70-77, drawn to color image processing, classified in class 382, subclass 165.
- III. Claims 43-44, 53-54, 57-60, 68-69, 78-87, and 89, drawn to the detection of an object and a protection zone, classified in class 382, subclass 152.

Distinctness

- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 4. Inventions I III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case invention I has separate utility such as in a system that does not require the use of color to warn or determine the endangered object or zone. Invention II has separate utility such as image binarization and pattern

Application/Control Number: 09/755,197

Art Unit: 2625

J 41 5

recognition using color image data. And invention III has separate utility such as for use in a surveillance system. See MPEP §806.05(d).

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and because the search required for each of the groups is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Complete Requirement

6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

Joint Inventors

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 4

was an english

Page 5

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR

1.17(h).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B Strege whose telephone number is (703) 305-

8679. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday between the hours of 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

...

Limithy M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER